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However, several questions arose during the subcommittee discussions that need further clarification from DCA. The questions were:

• Would the Authority require a separate set of books, accounts, and support staff? This is a bit different than the “Banked” approach today.

• What is meant by the “management committee” in NJSA 40:48B-2.1(a.7)? Does this apply or can it be the same as the Authority?

• Can the full extent of the Authority’s powers be limited and defined to be the way the Princeton’s want them to be through the Contract? - Which would most likely be a subset of the itemized statutory guidelines but not so extensive.

• Can this essentially be that same as the Recreation Board?

Anton would like us to present the “Contract Option” on Wednesday evening, but there is reluctance from other subcommittee members since we don’t have a solid understanding of what we are creating and where we eventually want to see the PSSA evolve into if other than police.

Bernie, Mildred, and Ryan can’t support the “Contract Option” at this time. The statutory description for a Joint Meeting reads like we could be creating a very powerful, new government entity.

Bill will discuss today’s meeting results with Joe Stefko and ask Joe to work with DCA to provide clarification to the Joint Meeting statute and generate answers to our questions.